

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,409	0,409 01/11/2000		ANANTH DODABALAPUR	19-2-16-18	4284
28221	7590 11	1/03/2004	EXAMINER		INER
	DMINISTRAT	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, PHILLIP		
	TON AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROSELAND,	, NJ 07068			2828	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4.10
Advisory Action	09/480,409	DODABALAPUR ET	AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	woid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply th places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 cm.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market status of the shortened stat	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appropount of the fee. The approprincing in the final Control of	on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	(4)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MiNO PRIN	THE MUE THE MENIMENT VERM	\
•			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The patent doubling rejections of claims 2-3 and 10-11 are erroneous; however, the rejections of claims 1-18 under USC 103 still stand.